

SECO soil sampling protocol

version 1.4 (September 2021)

Authors: Timothy Baker, Kyle Dexter, Casey Ryan, Oliver Phillips, Mathew Williams

Context

The aim of this protocol is to obtain comparable metrics of the soil nutrient content and concentrations of forests, woodlands and savannas in dry pan-tropical biomes. The protocol also aims to be sufficiently similar to previous work in moist and dry tropical biomes, to enable pantropical, within- and cross-biome comparisons by combining new and existing datasets.

The questions that the protocol aims to allow us to address include:

1. To what extent do soil properties control dry tropics' ecosystem structure, dynamics and composition, compared to other environmental variables and disturbance history?
2. What are the carbon and nutrient stocks of the different ecosystems of the dry tropics?
3. How does the role of soil properties as a control on i) tree dynamics and composition and ii) grass biomass and composition vary between the moist and dry tropics?

The protocol draws on previous work in the RAINFOR (both the 'fast' + 'intensive' soils sampling protocols), NERC Biome switching project, SEOSAW and TROBIT protocols. The protocol covers the full pipeline of data supply, from field data collection to data management and storage.

Approach

The overall aim is to obtain a single value for each parameter for each plot where we are measuring tree dynamics and grass, for the surface soil (0 - 30 cm), similar to the protocol for TROBIT and SEOSAW. Our overall approach is to take multiple samples across each plot and bulk and air-dry the samples. Apart from measurement of bulk density, soil analyses will be carried out in the School of Geography, Leeds for lab analysis, apart from cases where export is not possible.

Parameters to measure

In field:

Soil colour (Munsell)
Soil texture (useful check for subsequent lab data)
Bulk density

In lab:

Particle size
Total N
Total C
Total P (total, using acid digestion)
pH
Available Mg, Ca, Na, Al, K

Equipment

- Mallet (wood, plastic, or metal)
- Wood block to place on top of the core
- Paper bags
- Steel corer: 60 cm long, diameter 6-10 cm - this can be cut from steel tubing, with the edge slightly sharpened at one end, and a small hole (1 cm) drilled through a diameter at the other end. Take spares, as the cores are easily deformed by hitting a stone.
- Steel rod, <1 cm diameter, 60 cm long
- 2 mm sieve
- Resealable plastic bags
- Balance (precision to 1g, minimum weight 3 kg)
- Field notebook
- Pencil
- Spade
- Trowel
- Knife or machete
- Duct tape (for marking depth on core)
- Metal file (for re-sharpening cores in the field - optional)
- Oven for drying samples for measuring bulk density (optional)

Field sampling: site selection

At least five samples should be taken per plot, and bulked to give a single sample for analysis for each plot. For a standard square plot, one sampling location is at the centre of the plot, and four are located in each corner, 10 m from plot edges (Fig. 1).

However, the optimum distribution and number of sampling points may vary according to the shape of the plot or the nature of the landscape. ***The principle is to obtain a single bulked sample that reflects the heterogeneity within the plot.***




Figure 1. Soil field sampling protocol. A typical square 100 x 100 m forest plot should be sampled in five locations; at each sampling location, show with black circles, one core, 0-30 cm depth, should be taken.

For “transect-plots” (e.g. 10 x 1000 m), it is recommended to sample at regular intervals (e.g. every 200m). For plots with other dimensions (e.g. 20 x 500 m), it is advised to sample in a “zigzag-pattern”, every 100 m. Sampling should avoid any trails that pass through plots.

If there are very strong environmental gradients within a plot (e.g. seasonally flooded and upland areas), independent samples should be taken in each vegetation type, and the subplots that these vegetation types relate to should be recorded.

Soils should be sampled in the [late] dry season, if low-lying areas (e.g. dambos) are seasonally inundated.

Field sampling

At each sampling point, one soil core is collected.

1. At each sampling location, clear litter from an area of 40 x 40 cm, without disturbing the soil.
2. Drive the core into the ground to 30 cm depth, using the mallet. Place a strong piece of wood on top of the core to help spread the weight of the mallet, and minimise damage to the top of the core. Ensure the metal rod is placed through the core holes during this process. If the core stops descending into the soil and cannot reach the full depth (e.g. hitting hardpan), stop sampling and record the depth to which the core was taken. Use the steel rod to rotate and extract the core. Some digging with spade or trowel may be required to remove the deeper core. Be careful to ensure that the removed core is filled with soil. Use a knife to trim of soil adhering to the sample below the core. The accuracy of this method depends on the precise collection of undisturbed soil in the core, ensuring the soil occupies the total volume of the ring without overflow, hollows or voids. Care is also needed to ensure all soil is included when weighing the sample

3. *Note: If >30% by weight of the sample is comprised of fragments >2 mm (gauge by eye), resample elsewhere within the plot, recording this fact. If the entire site is dominated by coarse fragments (>30% in samples) then take a second sample at each sampling location, and combine.*
4. At each sampling location, collect the soil from the core in one plastic bag. Label each bag with the plot code, sample number and date. For each sample, note the soil colour of the mineral soil using a Munsell colour chart, and provide a description of texture using nearby soil.
5. Dry the soil either in the sun (protected from dust), or in a low temperature oven (up to 105°C). Drying time will depend on the initial moisture content. Break up large aggregates by hand to speed drying. You should repeatedly weigh a small number of representative bags across the plots (e.g. 3) until the weight of the sample remains constant to indicate drying is completed.
6. Pass each dry sample through a 2 mm sieve to remove stones and woody debris (e.g. roots). You may need to break up clumps of soil so they pass through the sieve. Weigh both fine (<2 mm) and coarse mineral fractions, as only the fine fraction is used to determine bulk density and for further analysis. Also weigh and record woody debris mass.
7. Calculate the total core volume for each sample and record. The core volume should be calculated based on the depth of penetration and the cross-sectional area of the core
8. Calculate the bulk density of the fine fraction (BD, g cm⁻³) as (dry weight of fine fraction, g)/(core volume, cm³).
9. Recorded data summary for each sample:
 - Date of sample collection
 - Researcher
 - Plot ID
 - Sample number
 - Soil colour
 - Soil texture
 - Total core dry mass
 - Fine material dry mass
 - Coarse material dry mass
 - Woody material dry mass
 - Diameter of the core
 - Depth of penetration
 - Core volume
 - Bulk density
10. Combine all the samples of fine mineral fraction of soil from each plot together and then split this sample into two halves. Ensure the sample is

well-mixed before sub-sampling: don't just take the top half of the sample in the bag, as this will have different texture to the bottom half. This can be done using either a riffle splitter (a box containing a number of slotted paths which the soil is passed through, producing two equally divided subsamples in the boxes below), or coning and halving (pouring the sample so that it takes on a conical shape and then flattening it out and dividing).

11. Retain 100g soil for analysis from each half of the sample. For each soil sample from each plot, one of the duplicates is sent to Leeds for analysis; the other is archived. Archived soils should be placed in a plastic bag in dry storage, and preferably chilled or frozen, to provide a replacement sample if needed for analysis.

Laboratory analysis

Samples for lab analysis in Leeds should be triple bagged and sent to:

Rachel Gasior,
School of Geography,
University of Leeds,
Woodhouse Lane,
Leeds LS2 9JT
United Kingdom

A copy of the UK soil import licence and Letter of Authority should be included with the samples. Contact Lorena Benitez (Lorena.Benitez@ed.ac.uk) for these documents.

Laboratory analysis and data management

Rachel Gasior will manage receipt of all samples and pass to lab technician Holly Armitage for analysis.

All lab data will be checked by Holly Armitage, and then by the person in the project responsible for soils analysis, before being passed to the ForestPlots.net staff (Georgia Pickavance) for upload to ForestPlots.net.

All lab data will also be supplied by the person responsible for the soils data, directly to the team that collected the sample prior to upload to ForestPlots.net.

Appropriate R code for summarising and compiling data from a soil data plot download for SECO plots will be made available to all project partners by the researchers on the project.

Costs

Over the course of the project, we estimate SECO will collect 400 new soil samples for analysis. All costs of laboratory analysis, data management and data supply, as well as soil import licences to the UK are paid for by Leeds as part of SECO.

Field costs for obtaining appropriate collection and export permits, collecting and sending the samples from partners to Leeds are covered by the fieldwork budgets with partners.

Bibliography

McNicol, I. M., C. M. Ryan, and M. Williams (2015), How resilient are African woodlands to disturbance from shifting cultivation?, *Ecological Applications*, 25, 2320-2336.

Williams, M., C. M. Ryan, R. M. Rees, E. Sambane, J. Fernando, and J. Grace (2008), Carbon sequestration and biodiversity of re-growing miombo woodlands in Mozambique, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 254, 145-155.

Both these papers used the SEOSAW protocol

Quesada, C.A., Phillips, O.L., Schwarz, M., Czimczik, C.I., Baker, T.R., Patiño, S., Fyllas, N.M., Hodnett, M.G., Herrera, R., Almeida, S. et al. (2012), Basin-wide variations in Amazon forest structure and function are mediated by both soils and climate. *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2203-2246.

Classic paper using the RAINFOR protocol

Saiz, G., Bird, M.I., Domingues, T., Schrod, F., Schwarz, M., Feldpausch, T.R., Veenendaal, E., Djagbletey, G., Hien, F., Compaore, H. and Diallo, A., (2012), Variation in soil carbon stocks and their determinants across a precipitation gradient in West Africa. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 1670-1683.

TROBIT soil sampling strategy